arXiv:1609.08308v1 [math.GR] 27 Sep 2016

ON UNITS IN ORDERS IN 2-BY-2 MATRICES OVER QUATERNION ALGEBRAS WITH RATIONAL CENTER

ANN KIEFER

Abstract. We generalize an algorithm established in earlier work [19] to compute finitely many generators for a subgroup of finite index of a group acting discontinuously on hyperbolic space of dimension 2 and 3, to hyperbolic space of higher dimensions using Clifford algebras. We hence get an algorithm which gives a finite set of generators up to finite index of a discrete subgroup of Vahlen's group, i.e. a group of 2-by-2 matrices with entries in the Clifford algebra satisfying certain conditions. The motivation comes from units in integral group rings and this new algorithm allows to handle unit groups of orders in 2-by-2 matrices over rational quaternion algebras. The rings investigated are part of the so-called exceptional components of a rational group algebra.

1. Introduction

The aim of this work is to get finitely many generators, up to finite index, of the groups $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O})$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is an order in a 2-by-2 matrix algebra over a totally definite quaternion algebra with rational centre, i.e. over $(\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Q}})$, with x and y negative integers. The motivation comes from the problem of describing finitely many units in the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$ of a finite group G that generate a subgroup of finite index in the unit group $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ of $\mathbb{Z}G$ (for more details see [16] and [17]).

The general idea is the following. To study the unit group $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ of the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$, we consider the rational group ring $\mathbb{Q}G$. By Wedderburn-Artin's Theorem, $\mathbb{Q}G$ may be written as a direct product of matrix rings over division algebras, i.e.

$$\mathbb{Q}G \cong \Pi_{i=1}^{r} M_{n_i}(D_i), \tag{1}$$

where D_i is a division algebra for every $1 \leq i \leq r$. We construct an order in the right hand side of (1). Therefore, for $1 \leq i \leq r$, let $\mathcal{O}_i$ be some order in D_i. Then clearly $\Pi_{i=1}^{r} M_{n_i}(\mathcal{O}_i)$ is an order in $\Pi_{i=1}^{r} M_{n_i}(D_i)$. The unit group of the latter order is given by $\Pi_{i=1}^{r} \mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(\mathcal{O}_i)$. The group $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is the group of units of an order in the left hand side of (1), while $\Pi_{i=1}^{r} \mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(\mathcal{O}_i)$ is the group of units of an order in the right hand side. By a well known theorem, the group of units of two orders in the same finite dimensional rational algebra are commensurable, i.e. they have a common subgroup that is of finite index in both. Moreover $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{O}_i)) \times \mathrm{SL}_{n_i}(\mathcal{O}_i)$, the direct product of the central units in $\mathcal{O}_i$ and the group consisting of the reduced norm one elements in $M_{n_i}(\mathcal{O}_i)$, contains a subgroup of finite index isomorphic to a subgroup of finite index of $\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(\mathcal{O}_i)$. The group $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{O}_i))$ may be determined from Dirichlet's Unit

Date: September 28, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20H10; Secondary 22E40, 16U60.

Key words and phrases. Hyperbolic Geometry, Presentation, Clifford Algebra, Quaternion Algebra, Group Rings, Unit Group.

The author is supported by Bielefeld University and is a FWO (Fonds voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek Flanders) fellow.

Theorem and it is commensurable with $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G))$, the group of central units of $\mathbb{Z}G$. For a large class of finite groups G one can describe generators of a subgroup of finite index in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G))$ [21] (see also [18]).
Hence, up to commensurability, the problem of finding generators and relations for $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}G)$ reduces to finding a presentation of $\mathrm{SL}_{n_i}(\mathcal{O}_i)$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. The congruence theorems allow to compute generators up to finite index for $\mathrm{SL}_{n_i}(\mathcal{O}_i)$ when $n_i \geq 3$ (without any further restrictions) and also for $n_i = 2$ and $n_i = 1$, except if M_{n_i} is a so-called exceptional component. Exceptional components are

(1) $M_2(\mathbb{Q})$,
(2) $M_2(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d}))$ with d a positive square-free integer,
(3) $M_2((\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Q}}))$ with $(\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Q}})$ a totally definite quaternion algebra and
(4) non commutative division algebras D_i that are not totally definite quaternion algebras.

For details, we refer the interested reader to [16, Chapter 12].
Hence the problem reduces to finding generators, up to finite index, for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ or $\mathcal{U}_1(\mathcal{O})$, i.e. units of reduced norm 1 in $\mathcal{O}$, for $\mathcal{O}$ an order in the division algebras listed under 1 to 4. One idea to attack these groups of units is to consider their group action on an adequate space. In [28], Pita, del Río and Ruiz did this for the unit group of orders in small exceptional components of type 1 and 2, by letting them act on hyperbolic space of dimension 2 and 3. In [8], the authors did the same thing but in the context of the unit group of a maximal order in a quaternion algebra. This constituted the first concrete example of an attack on the exceptional components of type 4. This was then finally generalized in [19], where an algorithm, called the DAFC, is given to compute an 'approximate' fundamental domain, and hence generators, for subgroups of finite index in groups that are contained in the unit group of orders in quaternion algebras over $\mathbb{Q}$ or quadratic imaginary extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$, in particular for Bianchi groups. This work handled the exceptional components of type 1 and 2, as well as a part of exceptional components of type 4. Similar algorithms may be found in [27]. In [6] and [20] first attempts were done to treat the unit group of an order in a more complicated exceptional component of type 4. An example of this are non totally definite quaternion algebras over extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ of degree higher than 2. Such an algebra shows up when for example considering the Wederburn Artin decomposition of $\mathbb{Q}(Q_8 \times C_7)$, where Q_8 is the quaternion group of order 8 and C_7 the cyclic group of order 7. One of the components in the decomposition is $(\frac{-1,-1}{\mathbb{Q}(\xi_7)})$. In [6], the authors apply Voronoï's algorithm, while in [20], the authors consider actions on direct products of hyperbolic spaces.
The exceptional components of type 3 are until now barely dealt with, except for the paper [10], which we discuss later. The goal of this paper is to develop a new method that deals with these components. This work is in fact a continuation of the work done in [19]. As explained above, in that paper, the authors use the action on hyperbolic space of dimension 2 and 3 and develop an algorithm, which takes as an input a discrete cofinite subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ to return a finite-sided convex polyhedron that contains a Dirichlet fundamental domain of the discrete subgroup. Via this polyhedron a finite set of generators up to finite index of the group in question is then computed. This may then be applied to orders in non-totally definite quaternion algebras with centre $\mathbb{Q}$ or an imaginary quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ or to orders $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ where $\mathcal{O}$ is an order in $\mathbb{Q}$ or an imaginary quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$.
In this paper, we generalize the DAFC algorithm to hyperbolic space of dimension 5, in order to get a finite set of generators up to finite index of $\mathrm{SL}_2((\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Z}}))$. In [32, Theorme 7], it is shown that $\mathrm{PSL}_2((\frac{-1,-1}{\mathbb{R}}))$ acts discontinuously on hyperbolic space of dimension 5. Unfortunately

this action is not given directly by Möbius transformations, but one has to pass via the Poincaré extension, in contrast with the classical case on hyperbolic space of dimension 2 and 3. Vahlen [30] introduced a special linear group of 2-by-2 matrices over the real Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}_n(\mathbb{R})$ of degree n. In [25] Maass also described this group. Recall that a Clifford algebra may also be seen as a twisted group algebra with a special cocycle. For details, we refer to [2]. They prove that it acts via Möbius transformations on hyperbolic space of dimension $n+1$ and constitutes the orientation preserving isometries of $\mathbb{H}^5$. This generalizes the classical case of Fuchsian and Kleinian groups to higher dimensions. In 1985, Ahlfors draws attention to this method in [1] and denotes this group by $\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$. More recent papers about generalizations of Kleinian groups to higher dimensions include [22], [26] and [31]. In this paper we consider the discrete subgroup $\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{Z}))$, which acts discontinuously on $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$. In particular we consider the action of $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_4(\mathbb{Z}))$ on $\mathbb{H}^5$ and generalize the DAFC to this case. In this way, we get an algorithm to compute a finite set of generators up to finite index of $\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}))$. We then make use of the fact that $\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma(\mathbb{Q}))$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SL}_2((\frac{-1,-1}{\mathbb{Q}}))$ and use the concrete isomorphism given in [11] to get a finite set of generators up to finite index of $\mathrm{SL}_+((\frac{-1,-1}{\mathbb{Z}}))$. Concerning matrices over general quaternion algebras, i.e. $\mathrm{SL}_2((\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Z}}))$ with x and y negative integers not necessarily equal to -1, we make use of the fact that over $\mathbb{R}$ all totally definite quaternion algebras are isomorphic. We hence embed $\mathrm{SL}_2((\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Z}}))$ into $\mathrm{SL}_2((\frac{-1,-1}{\mathbb{R}}))$ and re-use the same technique.
Note that in [10], the authors showed that the exceptional components of type 3 can be reduced to the following three matrix rings over quaternion algebras:

- $M_2((\frac{-1,-1}{\mathbb{Q}}))$,
- $M_2((\frac{-1,-3}{\mathbb{Q}}))$,
- $M_2((\frac{-2,-5}{\mathbb{Q}}))$.

The surprising fact is that the three quaternion algebras appearing in those components have a norm Euclidean maximal order (see [15, Theorem 2.1]). This makes it possible to describe generators of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ with $\mathcal{O}$ a maximal order in these algebras by imitating the classical way, based on the Euclidean algorithm, in which generators for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ are computed. For details see [10, Proposition 4.1]. So for the pure purpose of units in group rings, there is a way of computing generators for the unit group of a maximal order in components of type 3 and the details may be found in [10]. Nevertheless this paper is still interesting, because the algorithm presented in this paper works for the unit group of orders of a 2-by-2 matrix ring over some general totally definite quaternion algebra, i.e. that does not necessarily contain a norm Euclidean maximal order. Moreover, even in the context of units in group rings, one is often interested in the unit group of an order in a congruence subgroup of a matrix ring, and not the whole matrix ring. In the latter case, the classical computation, involving the Euclidean algorithm, is not working any more, as it requires the full matrix ring. Hence the interest of the algorithm presented in this paper.
The outline of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we give the necessary background on hyperbolic spaces, Poincaré's Polyhedron Theorem, Quaternion algebras and Clifford algebras. In Section 3, we generalize the necessary results from [19] to higher dimensions. Finally in Section 4, we give the new algorithm. In Section 5, we show a concrete method for computing generators of a subgroup of finite index in $\mathrm{SL}_2((\frac{-1,-1}{\mathbb{Z}}))$. This method is generalized in Section 6 to subgroups of finite index of $\mathrm{SL}_2((\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Z}}))$, for x and y some general negative integers. To finish the paper, we give two examples in Section 7.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall necessary information about hyperbolic space, its orientation preserving isometries and Poincaré's Polyhedron Theorem. We further show how these concepts can be generalized to Clifford matrices.

2.1. **Hyperbolic Space and Möbius Transformations.** Standard references on hyperbolic geometry are [4, 7, 14, 29]. Let $\mathbb{H}^n$ (respectively $\mathbb{B}^n$) denote the upper half-space (respectively the ball) model of hyperbolic n-space. Denote by $\mathrm{Iso}(\mathbb{H}^3)$ the group of isometries of $\mathbb{H}^3$. The group of orientation preserving isometries is denoted by $\mathrm{Iso}^+(\mathbb{H}^3)$.
Let us first briefly consider the special case of dimension 2 and 3. Here $\mathbb{H}^2 = \mathbb{C} = \{x+yi \mid x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$ and $\mathbb{H}^3$ can be identified with the subset of the classical real quaternion algebra $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}) = (\frac{-1,-1}{\mathbb{R}})$ by identifying $\mathbb{H}^3$ with the subset $\{z + rj \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}) \mid z \in \mathbb{C}, r \in \mathbb{R}^+\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R})$. The ball models $\mathbb{B}^2$ and $\mathbb{B}^3$ may be identified in the same way with $\{x+yi \in \mathbb{C} \mid x^2+y^2 < 1\}$ and $\{z + rj \in \mathbb{C} + \mathbb{R}j \mid |z|^2 + r^2 < 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R})$, respectively. It is easy to see that $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ act on $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ respectively by Möbius transformations, i.e. for $z \in \mathbb{R}$ or $z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z = \frac{az+b}{cz+d} \in \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C} \text{ respectively.}$$

Remark 2.1. *We abuse notation, by denoting by* $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ *as well an element of* $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ *as also its projection to* $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

By the so-called Poincaré extension, this action can be extended to $\mathbb{H}^2$ and $\mathbb{H}^2$ respectively. It is then well known (see for instance [4]) that $\mathrm{Iso}^+(\mathbb{H}^2)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{Iso}^+(\mathbb{H}^3)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. The action is again by Möbius transformations. More concretely, the action of $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ (or $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$) on $\mathbb{H}^2$ (or $\mathbb{H}^3$) is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z = (az+b)(cz+d)^{-1},$$

where $z \in \mathbb{H}^2$ (or $\mathbb{H}^3$) and $(az+b)(cz+d)^{-1}$ is evaluated in $\mathbb{C}$ (or in the algebra $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R})$). Explicitly, if $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ then

$$M(x+yi) = \frac{(ax+b)(cx+d)+acy^2}{(cx+d)^2+c^2y^2} + \frac{y}{(cz+d)^2+c^2y^2}i, \tag{2}$$

$$M(z+rj) = \frac{(az+b)(\overline{cz}+\overline{d})+a\overline{c}r^2}{|cz+d|^2+|c|^2r^2} + \frac{r}{|cz+d|^2+|c|^2r^2}j. \tag{3}$$

This action may be extended to $\widehat{\mathbb{H}}^2 = \mathbb{H}^2 \cup \partial\mathbb{H}^2 \cup \{\infty\}$ (or $\widehat{\mathbb{H}}^3 = \mathbb{H}^3 \cup \partial\mathbb{H}^3 \cup \{\infty\}$) in the evident way.

Remark 2.2. *Note that throughout the article we write* $a = a(M)$, $b = b(M)$, $c = c(M)$ *and* $d = d(M)$, *for the entries of* $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, *when it is necessary to stress the dependence of the entries on the matrix* M.

The orientation preserving isometries of the ball model may also be expressed in a precise way in dimension 2 and 3. We will do this for dimension 3, dimension 2 being done in a

similar way. Let $a = a_0 + a_1 i + a_2 j + a_3 k \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R})$. We define the following automorphism and anti-automorphisms:

- $a' = a_0 - a_1 i - a_2 j + a_3 k$,
- $a^* = a_0 + a_1 i + a_2 j - a_3 k$,
- $\overline{a} = a_0 - a_1 i - a_2 j - a_3 k$.

Let

$$\mathrm{SB}_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R})) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R})) |\ d = a',\ b = c',\ a\overline{a} - c\overline{c} = 1,\ a\overline{c} \in \mathbb{C} + \mathbb{R}j \right\}.$$

The following proposition describes the link between the upper-half space and the ball model.

Proposition 2.3. [14, Proposition I.2.3]

(i) For $P \in \mathbb{H}^3$, the quaternion $-jP + 1$ is invertible in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R})$ and the map $\eta_0 : \mathbb{H}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}^3$, given by $\eta_0(P) = (P - j)(-jP + 1)^{-1}$, is an isometry. More precisely $\eta_0 = \mu\pi$, where π is the reflection in the border of $\mathbb{H}^3$ and μ is the reflection in the Euclidean sphere with centre j and radius $\sqrt{2}$.

(ii) Let $\Lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ j & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}))$. The map $\Psi : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \to SB_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}))$ given by $\Psi(M) = \overline{\Lambda} M \Lambda$ is a group isomorphism.

(iii) For $u \in \mathbb{B}^3$ and $f = \begin{pmatrix} a & c' \\ c & a' \end{pmatrix} \in SB_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}))$ the quaternion $cu + a'$ is invertible in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R})$ and the transformations $f : \mathbb{B}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}^3$, defined by $f(u) = (au + c')(cu + a')^{-1}$ are isometries of $\mathbb{B}^3$ and define an action of $SB_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}))$ on $\mathbb{B}^3$. Again this action may be extended to the closure of $\mathbb{B}^3$, which we denote by $\overline{\mathbb{B}^3}$.

(iv) The group $Iso^+(\mathbb{B}^3)$ is isomorphic to $SB_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}))/\{1, -1\}$.

(v) The map η_0 is equivariant with respect to Ψ, that is $\eta_0(MP) = \psi(M)\eta_0(P)$, for $P \in \mathbb{H}^3$ and $M \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$.

2.2. **Poincaré's Polyhedron Theorem.** Recall that a group Γ is said to act discontinuously on a space X if for every compact subset K of X, $K \cap \gamma(K) \neq \emptyset$ for only finitely many $\gamma \in \Gamma$. A well known theorem states that if X is a proper metric space, then a group $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{Iso}(X)$ acts discontinuously on X if and only if Γ is a discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{Iso}(X)$. For more details on this, see [29, Theorem 5.3.5]. A fundamental domain for a discontinuous group action of a group Γ on a metric space X, is a a closed set $\mathcal{F} \subseteq X$ such that the border of $\mathcal{F}$ has measure 0, the union of the images of $\mathcal{F}$ under Γ is the space X and the images of $\mathcal{F}^\circ$ by elements of Γ are pairwise disjoint. We call $\mathcal{F}$ a fundamental polyhedron, if $\mathcal{F}$ is a fundamental domain that is a convex polyhedron.

In this paper we work with the Dirichlet fundamental domain. We recall its construction and how it can be used to give a presentation for the considered groups, the so called Poincaré method (for details see for example [4] and [29]). Let Γ be a group acting discontinuously on $\mathbb{H}^n$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $P \in \mathbb{H}^n$ a point which is not fixed by γ. Then let

$$D_\gamma(P) = \{z \in \mathbb{H}^n \mid \rho(P, z) \leq \rho(z, \gamma(P))\}, \tag{4}$$

the half-space containing P, where ρ denotes the hyperbolic distance in $\mathbb{H}^n$. The border of $D_\gamma(P)$ is the bisector of P and $\gamma(P)$, that is the set $\{z \in \mathbb{H}^n \mid \rho(P, z) = \rho(z, \gamma(P))\}$. We denote it by $\Sigma_\gamma(P)$. If $P \in \mathbb{H}^n$ is a point which is not fixed by any non-trivial element of Γ, then

$$\mathcal{F} = \cap_{1 \neq \gamma \in \Gamma} D_\gamma(P)$$

is known as a Dirichlet fundamental polyhedron of Γ with centre P. If Γ_P, the stabilizer of P in Γ, is not trivial and if $\mathcal{F}_P$ is a fundamental domain for the group Γ_P, then

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_P \cap (\cap_{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_P} D_\gamma(P)) \tag{5}$$

is a fundamental domain of Γ. A proof of this can be found for example in [8, Proof of Proposition 3.2]. Once a fundamental polyhedron for Γ is constructed, Poincaré's Polyhedron Theorem gives a way of describing generators for the group Γ.

Theorem 2.4. [29, Theorem 6.8.3] *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a convex, fundamental polyhedron for a group Γ acting discontinuously on a proper metric space X of constant curvature. Then Γ is generated by the set*

$$\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \mathcal{F} \cap \gamma(\mathcal{F}) \text{ is a side of } \mathcal{F}\}.$$

The elements γ of the generating set are called side-pairing transformations.

2.3. **The group $\mathrm{PSL}_2((\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Z}}))$.** In this subsection we consider quaternion algebras over the field $\mathbb{Q}$. A $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra is said to be a quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ if there exist $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ and a $\mathbb{Q}$-basis $\{1, i, j, k\}$, such that

$$i^2 = x, j^2 = y \text{ and } ij = -ji = k.$$

This algebra is denoted $(\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Q}})$. Clearly $(\frac{-1,-1}{\mathbb{Q}})$ is the classical Hamilton quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$. We are interested in quaternion algebras that are skew fields, i.e. $a_0^2 - xa_1^2 - ya_2^2 - xya_3^2 = 0$ for some $(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{Q}^4$ if and only if $a_0 = a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$. As described in the Introduction, we are interested in the elements of $M_2((\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Z}}))$ of reduced norm 1 over $\mathbb{Q}$. To compute the reduced norm of an element $A \in M_2((\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Q}}))$, one embeds A into $M_8(\mathbb{Q})$. Obviously $A = A_0 + A_1 i + A_2 j + A_3 k$, where $A_i \in M_2(\mathbb{R})$. It is now easy to see that mapping A to the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_0 & -xA_1 & -yA_2 & xyA_3 \\ -A_1 & A_0 & bA_2 & -yA_3 \\ -A_2 & -xA_3 & A_0 & xA_1 \\ -A_3 & -A_2 & A_1 & A_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

constitutes an homomorphism from $\mathcal{M}_2((\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Q}}))$ to $M_8(\mathbb{Q})$. The reduced norm is defined as the determinant of the matrix in $M_8(\mathbb{Q})$. As the reduced norm is not handy to work with, we recall the notion of Dieudonné determinant. Let $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ be a matrix in $M_2((\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Q}}))$. We first define the pseudo-determinant σ in the following way:

$$\sigma = \begin{cases} cac^{-1}d - cb & \text{when } c \neq 0, \\ bdb^{-1}a & \text{when } c = 0, b \neq 0, \\ (d-a)a(d-a)^{-1}d & \text{when } b = c = 0, a \neq d, \\ a\overline{a} & \text{when } b = c = 0, a = d. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

Based on this quantity σ, the Dieudonné determinant of this matrix is defined as

$$\Delta = |\sigma| = \sqrt{|a|^2|d|^2 + |b|^2|d|^2 - 2Re\left[a\overline{c}d\overline{b}\right]}.$$

Note that Δ is positive and real. Moreover it may be proven (see [3, Theorem 9] or [24]) that Δ^4 equals the reduced norm. For more information on reduced norms and the Dieudonné determinant, we also refer the interested reader to [9]. As a consequence we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *A matrix $M \in M_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}))$ is invertible if and only if $\Delta \neq 0$. Furthermore the inverse is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} M^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & -\sigma^{-1}b \\ 0 & d^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ when } c = 0, \\ M^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} c^{-1}d\sigma^{-1}c & -a^{-1}b\sigma^{-1}cac^{-1} \\ -\sigma^{-1}c & \sigma^{-1}cac^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ when } c \neq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Consider a matrix $M \in M_2((\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Z}}))$. It is easy to see that if M is a unit in $M_2((\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Z}}))$, then $\Delta = 1$. On the other hand, if $\Delta = 1$, then $\sigma^{-1} = \overline{\sigma}$. With some computations, one may prove that in that case all the four entries of M^{-1} are in $(\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Z}})$. We hence obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6. *A matrix $M \in M_2((\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Z}}))$ is a unit in $M_2((\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Z}}))$ if and only if $\Delta = 1$.*

Similar as in the classical case, we define the groups

$$\mathrm{SL}_2((\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{R}})) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a,b,c,d \in (\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{R}}), \Delta = 1 \right\},$$

$$\mathrm{SL}_2((\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Z}})) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a,b,c,d \in (\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Z}}), \Delta = 1 \right\}.$$

By passing to the projective group

$$\mathrm{PSL}_2((\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{R}})) = \mathrm{PSL}_2((\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{R}}))/\{\pm I\},$$

we get the following isomorphism (see [23] for details)

$$\mathrm{PSL}_2((\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{R}})) \cong \mathrm{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^5).$$

2.4. **Clifford Möbius Transformations.** The Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}_n(\mathbb{R})$ is the associative algebra over the real numbers generated by $n-1$ elements $i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}$ subject to the relations $i_h i_k = -i_k i_h$ for $h \neq k$ and $i_h^2 = -1$ for $1 \leq h \leq n-1$ and no other relations. The Clifford vectors are the elements of $\mathcal{C}_n(\mathbb{R})$ of the special form $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 i_1 + \alpha_2 i_2 + \ldots \alpha_{n-1} i_{n-1}$. The space of all the Clifford vectors is a vector subspace of $\mathcal{C}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and we denote it by $\mathbb{V}^n(\mathbb{R})$. The main conjugation consists of replacing i_h by $-i_h$. It is an automorphism of $\mathcal{C}_n(\mathbb{R})$. We denote the conjugate of α by α'. The conjugation denoted by $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^*$ consists in reversing the order of the factors in each product $i_{h_1} \ldots i_{h_m}$. This defines an anti-automorphism on $\mathcal{C}_n(\mathbb{R})$ in the sense that for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}_n(\mathbb{R})$, $(\alpha\beta)^* = \beta^*\alpha^*$. Finally combining both conjugations, we get another anti-automorphism denoted by $\alpha \mapsto \overline{\alpha} = \alpha'^* = (\alpha^*)'$. If $\alpha \in \mathbb{V}^n(\mathbb{R})$, then $\alpha^* = \alpha$ and $\alpha' = \overline{\alpha}$. If $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_n(\mathbb{R})$, write $\alpha = \sum \alpha_I I$, where $I = i_{j_1} \ldots i_{j_r}$ with $i_{j_i} \in \{i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}\}$. Define $|\alpha|^2 = \sum \alpha_i^2$. If $\alpha \in \mathbb{V}^n(\mathbb{R})$, then $\alpha\overline{\alpha} = |\alpha|^2$. This also leads to the fact that every non-zero vector α is invertible and its inverse is given by $\alpha^{-1} = \overline{\alpha}|\alpha|^{-2}$. In order to work with matrices we want to be able to multiply vectors and hence to work in a multiplicative group. That is why we define the Clifford group $\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R})$ whose elements are all the possible products of invertible vectors. These products are of course invertible and therefore $\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R})$ is a group. We consider matrices of the form $M = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$ with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}) \cup \{0\}$ and we would like M to define a bijective mapping from $\overline{\mathbb{V}}^n(\mathbb{R})$ to $\overline{\mathbb{V}}^n(\mathbb{R})$, where $\overline{\mathbb{V}}^n(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{V}^n(\mathbb{R}) \cup \{\infty\}$.

Definition 2.7. [1, Definition 2.1]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{GL}(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R})) &= \left\{\begin{pmatrix}\alpha & \beta\\ \gamma & \delta\end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta \in \Gamma_n(\mathbb{R})\cup\{0\}; \alpha\delta^* - \beta\gamma^* \neq 0;\right.\\ &\quad \left.\alpha\beta^*, \gamma\delta^*, \gamma^*\alpha, \delta^*\beta \in \mathbb{V}^n(\mathbb{R})\right\},\\ \mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R})) &= \left\{\begin{pmatrix}\alpha & \beta\\ \gamma & \delta\end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R})) \mid \alpha\delta^* - \beta\gamma^* = 1\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.8. *Note that all the above definitions make sense when replacing the field* $\mathbb{R}$ *by* $\mathbb{Q}$*. Hence we get* $\mathcal{C}_n(\mathbb{Q})$*,* $\mathbb{V}^n(\mathbb{Q})$*,* $\Gamma_n(\mathbb{Q})$ *and* $\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{Q}))$*.*

In [1], it is shown that the sets from Definition 2.7 are well defined multiplicative groups. In [11] a different definition of $\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$ is given in Definition 3.1. It is then shown in [11, Theorem 3.7] that both definitions are equivalent. The next theorem is taken from [1].

Theorem 2.9. [1, Theorem A] *The matrix* $M = \begin{pmatrix}\alpha & \beta\\ \gamma & \delta\end{pmatrix}$ *with* $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta \in \Gamma_n(\mathbb{R})\cup\{0\}$ *induces bijective mappings* $\overline{\mathbb{V}}^n \to \overline{\mathbb{V}}^n$ *and* $\overline{\mathbb{V}}^{n+1} \to \overline{\mathbb{V}}^{n+1}$ *if and only if* $M \in \mathrm{GL}(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$*.*

Let

$$\Pi : \mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R})) \to \mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R})) \tag{8}$$

be the natural projection (see [11, (5.6)]). By passing to the projective group $\Pi(\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R})) = \mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 2.10. [1, Theorem B] *The group* $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$ *is isomorphic to the groups* $M_+(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n)$ *and* $M_+(\mathbb{H}^{n+1})$ *of orientation preserving Möbius transformations.*

An element $z \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ is given by $z = z_0 + z_1 i_1 + \ldots + z_n i_n$ with $z_n \geq 0$ and a matrix $A \in \mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$ will act on z in the following way

$$\begin{pmatrix}\alpha & \beta\\ \gamma & \delta\end{pmatrix} z = (\alpha z + \beta)(\gamma z + \delta)^{-1},$$

where the latter is calculated in the algebra $\mathcal{C}_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$. The conditions on $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$ given in Definition 2.7 guarantee that $(\gamma z+\delta)^{-1}$ is well defined in $\mathcal{C}_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$ and that $(\alpha z+\beta)(\gamma z+\delta)^{-1}$ is an element of $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ (for details see [1, Theorem A]). Moreover (2) and (3) can also be generalized. Indeed write z as $z = y + r i_n$, where $y \in \mathbb{V}^n(\mathbb{R})$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then, it is easy to compute that

$$(\alpha z + \beta)(\gamma z + \delta)^{-1} = \frac{\alpha\overline{\gamma}|z|^2 + \beta\overline{\delta} + \alpha y\overline{\delta} + \beta\overline{y\gamma}}{|\gamma z + \delta|^2} + \frac{r i_n}{|\gamma z + \delta|^2}. \tag{9}$$

The equivalent definition of $\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$ of [11, Definition 3.1] ensures that (9) is a vector of $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$. Moreover, from Theorem 2.10, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.11. *The groups* $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}))$ *and* $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_4(\mathbb{R}))$ *are isomorphic.*

We cite two more lemmas from [1], which will be useful later.

Lemma 2.12. [1, Lemma 1.4] *If* $\alpha,\beta \in \Gamma_n(\mathbb{R})$*, then* $\alpha\beta^{-1}$ *and* $\alpha^*\beta$ *are simultaneously in* $\mathbb{V}^n(\mathbb{R})$*.*

Lemma 2.13. [1] *If* $M = \begin{pmatrix}\alpha & \beta\\ \gamma & \delta\end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$*, then* $M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}\delta^* & -\beta^*\\ -\gamma^* & \alpha^*\end{pmatrix}$*.*

Let $\mathcal{C}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ denote the module of integral combinations of the elements $i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}$ and $\Gamma_n(\mathbb{Z})$ the monoid of products of vectors in $\mathcal{C}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, that are invertible in $\mathcal{C}_n(\mathbb{R})$, i.e.

$$\Gamma_n(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathcal{C}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}).$$

Then we define $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{Z}))$ as the subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$ of all matrices with entries in $\Gamma_n(\mathbb{Z}) \cup \{0\}$. More precisely

$$\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{Z})) = \mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R})) \cup M_2(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{Z}) \cup \{0\}).$$

This subgroup can bee seen as the group of integer points of an algebraic subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ and by a well known result of Borel and Harish-Chandra on arithmetic groups being finitely presented, we get the following theorem (for details see [26, Theorem 3] or [13, Proposition 2.3]).

Theorem 2.14. $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{Z}))$ *is a finitely generated arithmetic group of finite covolume.*

As $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{Z}))$ is clearly a discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$, it acts discontinuously with finite volume quotient on $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$. The following corollary follows immediately from [26] and [5, Proposition 5.6].

Corollary 2.15. *The Dirichlet fundamental polyhedron of* $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{Z}))$ *has finitely many sides.*

3. Generalizing the general theory to Clifford matrices

By Theorem 2.10, every discrete subgroup G of $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$ has a discontinuous action on the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$. For the purpose of the algorithm we will have to switch from the upper half-space to the ball model and vice-versa. Therefore we first show that Proposition 2.3 may be generalized to higher dimensions in the context of Clifford matrices. As in Proposition 2.3, let $\eta_0 = \mu\pi$, where π is the reflection in the border of $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ and μ is the reflection in the Euclidean sphere with centre i_n and radius $\sqrt{2}$. Then it is well known that η_0 maps $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ homeomorphically onto $\mathbb{B}^{n+1}$ and vice-versa. By definition η_0 is an isometry between the two models (for details, see [29]). Let $\Lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i_n \\ i_n & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathcal{C}_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}))$. It is easy to verify that the Möbius transformation $\overline{\Lambda}(z) = (z - i_n)(-i_n z + 1)^{-1}$ describes exactly the map η_0. Hence we may generalize Proposition 2.3 in the following way. See also [11, Proposition 5.6].

Proposition 3.1. *(i) For $z \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$, the Clifford element $-i_n z + 1$ is invertible in $\mathcal{C}_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$ and the map from $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ to $\mathbb{B}^{n+1}$, mapping z on $(z - i_n)(-i_n z + 1)^{-1}$, is an isometry.*

(ii) Let $\Lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i_n \\ i_n & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathcal{C}_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}))$. The map $\Psi : \mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R})) \to \mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}))$ given by $\Psi(M) = \overline{\Lambda} M \Lambda$ is a group embedding.

(iii) The map η_0 is equivariant with respect to Ψ, that is $\eta_0(Mz) = \psi(M)\eta_0(z)$, for $z \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ and $M \in \mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$.

Proof. We first prove item (i). Therefore we rewrite $-i_n z + 1$ as $-i_n(z + i_n)$. As i_n is invertible in $\mathcal{C}_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $z + i_n$ is a non-zero vector in $\mathbb{V}^{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$, the latter is invertible in $\mathcal{C}_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$. The rest of (i) follows from the discussion above.
To prove (ii), we first note that $\Lambda \in \mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}))$. As every $M \in \mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$ can be seen as en element of $\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}))$ and the latter is a group, it is clear that $\Psi(M) \in \mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}))$. Finally (iii) follows from the classical case. □

As in the classical case one may give a concrete expression for $\Psi(M)$ for $M \in \mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$. This then gives an expression of the isometric sphere in the ball model $\mathbb{B}^{n+1}$, which allows to prove that in the ball model $\mathbb{B}^{n+1}$, the bisector $\Sigma_{\Psi(M)^{-1}}(0)$ associated to an element $M \in \mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$ equals the isometric sphere of $\Psi(M)$. This is summarized in the following lemma. We recall that the isometric sphere of an element is the sphere on which the element acts as a Euclidean isometry.

Lemma 3.2. *Let* $M = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$ *and let* $\Psi(M)$ *be defined as in Proposition 3.1. Then we have the following.*

(i) $\Psi(M) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + \delta' + (\beta - \gamma')i_n & \beta + \gamma' + (\alpha - \delta')i_n \\ \gamma + \beta' + (\delta - \alpha')i_n & \alpha' + \delta + (\gamma - \beta')i_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & C' \\ C & A' \end{pmatrix}$, *with* $A, C \in \mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}))$ *such that* $|A|^2 - |C|^2 = 1$ *and* $A\overline{C} \in \mathbb{V}^{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$.

(ii) If $C \neq 0$, *the isometric sphere of* $\Psi(M)$ *in* $\mathbb{B}^{n+1}$ *is the sphere* $S(C^{-1}A', \frac{1}{|C|})$ *with centre* $C^{-1}A'$ *and radius* $\frac{1}{|C|}$.

(iii) If $C \neq 0$, *the point* $\Psi(M)^{-1}(0)$ *and the centre* $P_{\Psi(M)}$ *of the isometric sphere of* $\Psi(M)$ *are inverse points with respect to the unit sphere* $\mathbb{S}^{n+1} = \partial\mathbb{B}^{n+1}$.

(iv) If $C \neq 0$, *the bisector* $\Sigma_{\Psi(M)^{-1}}(0)$ *equals the isometric sphere of* $\Psi(M)$.

Proof. The first part of (i) follows from mere computations. Then by the definition of $\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}))$, $1 = AA'^* - CC'^* = |A|^2 - |C|^2$ and $AC'^* = A\overline{C} \in \mathbb{V}^{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$.
By the proof of [29, Theorem 4.4.4], the centre of the isometric sphere of $\Psi(M)$ is given by $\Psi(M)^{-1}(\infty)$. By Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 2.13, the latter equals $-\overline{A}(C^*)^{-1}$. By the definition of $\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}))$, $CA'^* \in \mathbb{V}^{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$ and hence also $A'C^* = (CA'^*)^*$ is a vector. By Lemma 2.12, $-\overline{A}(C^*)^{-1}$ is also a vector and hence it equals $-(\overline{A}(C^*)^{-1})^* = C^{-1}A'$. The square of the radius of the isometric sphere is then simply given by $|C^{-1}A'|^2 - 1 = \frac{1}{|C|^2}$.
We now prove item (iii). By Lemma 2.13, it is easy ot compute that $\Psi(M)^{-1}(0) = -\overline{C}(A^*)^{-1}$. Let us denote the centre of the isometric sphere of $\Psi(M)$ by $P_{\Psi(M)}$. Then $P_{\Psi(M)} \cdot \Psi(M)^{-1}(0) = |C|^{-2}|A|^2$. Thus $P_{\Psi(M)}$, $\Psi(M)^{-1}(0)$ and 0 are colinear in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Moreover $|P_{\Psi(M)}||\Psi(M)^{-1}(0)| = 1$. This proves item (iii).
Finally to prove item (iv), first note that, by definition, the isometric sphere of $\Psi(M)$ is orthogonal to the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n+1} = \partial\mathbb{B}^{n+1}$. Denote by Θ the intersection of the ray through 0 and $P_{\Psi(M)}$ with the isometric sphere of $\Psi(M)$ and denote by d the hyperbolic metric in $\mathbb{B}^{n+1}$. By [29, Theorem 4.5.1], it is easy to calculate that, for $z \in \mathbb{B}^{n+1}$,

$$d(0, z) = ln\left(\frac{1 + |z|}{1 - |z|}\right).$$

Thus $d(0, \Theta) = ln(\frac{|C|+|A|-1}{|C|-|A|+1})$ and $d(0, \Psi(M)^{-1}(0)) = ln(\frac{|A|+|C|}{|A|-|C|})$. Hence $2d(0, \Theta) = d(0, \Psi(M)^{-1}(0))$, which proves that Θ is the midpoint of 0 and $\psi(M)^{-1}(0)$ and thus the isometric sphere equals the bisector $\Sigma_{\Psi(M)^{-1}}(0)$. □

Finally we need one last lemma. For this, define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{SU}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R})) &= \mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R})) \cap \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \gamma \\ -\gamma' & \alpha' \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha, \gamma \in \Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}) \cup \{0\} \right\}, \\ \mathrm{PSU}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R})) &= \mathrm{SU}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))/\{\pm I\}. \end{aligned}$$

We also define the norm of an element $M = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$ as $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 + |\gamma|^2 + |\delta|^2$ and we denote it by $\|M\|^2$. The following proposition may also partly be found in [12, Proposition 2.4].

Lemma 3.3. *Let $M \in \mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) $M \in \mathrm{SU}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$.
(ii) $\|M\|^2 = 2$.
(iii) M *fixes the point* $i_n \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$.

Proof. We show $(i) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (i)$. So suppose $M \in \mathrm{SU}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$. Then by (9), $M(i_n) = \frac{\alpha\overline{\gamma} - \gamma'\alpha^*}{|\gamma|^2+|\alpha|^2} + \frac{i_n}{|\gamma|^2+|\alpha|^2}$. As $M \in \mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$, $|\gamma|^2 + |\alpha|^2 = 1$. Moreover $\alpha\overline{\gamma} = \alpha\gamma^{-1}|\gamma|^2$, which is a vector by Lemma 2.12 and by the definition of $\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$. Hence $\alpha\overline{\gamma} = (\alpha\overline{\gamma})^* = \gamma'\alpha^*$. This proves (iii). So suppose now $M(i_n) = i_n$. Then by Proposition 3.1, $\Psi(M)$ fixes $0 \in \mathbb{B}^n$ and thus, by Proposition 3.2, $\beta + \gamma' + (\alpha - \delta')i_n = 0$. So $\alpha = \delta'$ and $\beta = -\gamma'$ and $1 = \alpha\delta^* - \beta\gamma^* = |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$. Item (ii) now easily follows. Finally suppose $\|M\|^2 = 2$. It is easy to compute that $|\alpha - \delta'|^2 + |\beta + \gamma'|^2 = \|M\|^2 - 2$. From this (i) follows immediately. □

We have now all the ingredients to prove the main theorem of this section, which gives concrete formulas for the bisectors $\Sigma_M(i_n)$, defined in Section 2.2, in the upper half-space $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$. In fact in the upper half-space the isometric sphere of an element $M \in \mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$ does not necessarily equal a bisector. However as the bisector is a concept purely based on the hyperbolic metric, the map η_0^{-1} from Proposition 3.1 sends $\Sigma_{\Psi(M)}(0)$ to $\Sigma_M(i_n)$, as $\eta_0^{-1}(0) = i_n$. By Lemma 3.2, the formula for the centre and the radius of $\Sigma_{\Psi(M)}(0)$ are very easy and this gives us formulas for the bisector $\Sigma_M(i_n)$ in the next Theorem. Note that this theorem is exactly the same as [19, Theorem 3.2].

Theorem 3.4. *Let* $M = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$ *and* $M \notin \mathrm{SU}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$.

(1) $\Sigma_{M^{-1}}(i_n)$ *is a Euclidean half-sphere if and only if* $|\alpha|^2+|\gamma|^2 \neq 1$. *In this case, its centre and its radius are respectively given by* $P_{M^{-1}} = \frac{-(\beta^*\alpha' + \delta^*\gamma')}{|\alpha|^2+|\gamma|^2-1}$ *and* $R^2_{M^{-1}} = \frac{1+|P_M|^2}{|\alpha|^2+|\gamma|^2}$.

(2) $\Sigma_{M^{-1}}(i_n)$ *is a hyperplane if and only if* $|\alpha|^2 + |\gamma|^2 = 1$. *In this case* $Re(\overline{v}z) + \frac{|v|^2}{2} = 0$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ *is a defining equation of* $\Sigma_{M^{-1}}(i_n)$, *where* $v = \beta^*\alpha' + \delta^*\gamma'$.

Proof. The proof is exactly the same as in [19]. Note that by [11, Theorem 3.7], if $M^{-1} \in \mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$, then $\beta^*\overline{\alpha^*} = \beta^*\alpha'$ and $\delta^*\overline{\gamma^*} = \delta^*\gamma'$ are vectors and hence $P_{M^{-1}}$ and v are well defined. □

Note also that we exclude the case $M \in \mathrm{SU}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$ in Theorem 3.4, to ensure that the bisector of i_n and $M^{-1}(i_n)$ exists.

4. The algorithm

In this section we construct an algorithm that computes the fundamental domain of a subgroup G of $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{Z}))$, that is of finite index. The basic idea of the algorithm is the same as in [19]. In the algorithm we order the elements by their increasing norm. This makes sense because of the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $M = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R})) \setminus \mathrm{SU}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$. *Then the following hold.*

(i) $|P_{\Psi(M)}|^2 = \frac{2+\|M\|^2}{-2+\|M\|^2}$.
(ii) $R^2_{\Psi(M)} = \frac{4}{-2+\|M\|^2}$.
(iii) The function $\rho_M = 1 + R_{\Psi(M)} - \|P_{\Psi(M)}\|$ *is a strictly decreasing function in* $\|M\|$ *on* $\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R})) \setminus \mathrm{SU}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 (iii), $P_{\Psi(M)} = C^{-1}A$ and $R_{\Psi(M)} = \frac{1}{|C|}$. Using the explicit formulas for A and C from Lemma 3.2, item (i) and (ii) may be easily computed. Using this, we obtain $\rho_M = 1 - (\frac{2+\|M\|^2}{-2+\|M\|^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2(-2 + \|M\|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. In the proof of Lemma 3.3, we have seen that $\|M\|^2 - 2 = |\alpha - \delta'|^2 + |\beta + \gamma'|^2$. Thus $\|M\|^2 \geq 2$ for $M \in \mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$ and equality holds if and only if $M \in \mathrm{SU}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$. So ρ_M is a function in M from $]2, +\infty]$ to $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\rho'_M = -2\|M\|(\|M\|^2 - 2)^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\|M\|^2 + 2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(-2 + \sqrt{\|M\|^2 + 2})$. The latter shows that ρ_M is strictly decreasing. □

If we denote by r the ray in $\mathbb{B}^{n+1}$ through the centre $P_{\Psi(M)}$ of the isometric sphere $\Sigma_{\Psi(M)}(0)$, then ρ_M represents the distance between the intersection of r with $\partial\mathbb{B}^{n+1} = \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ and $\Sigma_{\Psi(M)}(0)$. So the intuitive idea of the algorithm is the following: the smaller $\|M\|^2$, i.e. the bigger ρ_M, the more the bisector $\Sigma_{\Psi(M)}(0)$, and hence also $\Sigma_M(i_n)$ contributes to the fundamental Dirichlet domain.
We now come to the main algorithm. So let G be a discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$ and let $\mathcal{F}_{i_n}$ be the fundamental domain of the stabilizer G_{i_n} of the point $i_n \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$.
As seen in Theorem 3.4, the bisector $\Sigma_{M^{-1}}(i_n)$ of some element $M \in G$ can take the form of a hyperplane or a half-sphere. We first write a small algorithm searching for the hyperplanes appearing in the definition of the Dirichlet domain. Recall from (4) that if $\Sigma_{M^{-1}}(i_n)$ is a hyperplane, then $D_{M^{-1}}(i_n)$ is the half-space containing i_n and delimited by $\Sigma_{M^{-1}}(i_n)$.
Note that in the following two algorithms we abuse notation: when we write the closure of a set, we mean its closure in the space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Hence, for example, $\overline{\mathbb{H}^{n+1}}$ means $\mathbb{H}^{n+1} \cup \partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$.

Algorithm 4.2. *The input of the algorithm is a subgroup* G *of finite index in* $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{Z}))$. *Set* $n = 1$ *and* $B = \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$.

Step 1 *Compute* $B = B \cap (\cap D_{M^{-1}}(i_n))$, *where* M *runs over elements in* G *and* $M \notin \mathrm{PSU}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{Z}))$ *such that* $\|M\|^2 = n$ *and* $|\alpha(M)|^2 + |\gamma(M)|^2 = 1$.
Step 2 *If* $\overline{B} \cap \partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ *is compact, stop and return* B *and* $N = n$.
Step 3 *If not, set* $n = n + 1$ *and go to step 1.*

Proposition 4.3. *Algotithm 4.2 stops after finitely many steps.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.14, the fundamental domain of $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{Z}))$ has finite volume. It is clear that it has a cusp at ∞. So G has finite covolume and a cusp at ∞. Hence if $\mathcal{F}$ is the fundamental domain of G and H is a hyperplane parallel to $\partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ defined by $i_n = 2$, then $\mathcal{F} \cap H$ has to be compact in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Consider the half-spaces $D_{M^{-1}}(i_n)$ where $\Sigma_{M^{-1}}(i_n)$ is a half-sphere. Then $|P_{M^{-1}} - i_n|^2 = |P_{M^{-1}}|^2 + 1 \geq R^2_{M^{-1}}$ and so $D_{M^{-1}}(i_n)$ is the part of the space $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ lying 'above' the half-sphere $\Sigma_{M^{-1}}$. Thus, if H is a hyperplane parallel to $\partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$, then $H \cap (\cap D_{M^{-1}}(i_n))$, where the intersection runs over the half-spheres $\Sigma_{M^{-1}}(i_n)$, is never compact. This combined with the fact that $\mathcal{F}$ has finitely many sides (see Corollary 2.15) proves that Algorithm 4.2 has to stop after finitely many steps. □

We now come to the main algorithm describing the Dirichlet fundamental domain of a group of finite index in $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{Z}))$. Denote by N the integer n at which Algorithm 4.2 stops. Recall from Section 2.2, that $\mathcal{F}_{i_n}$ denotes the fundamental domain of the stabilizer of i_n.

Algorithm 4.4. *The input of the algorithm is a subgroup G of finite index in $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{Z}))$, the set B obtained in Algorithm 4.2, the set $\mathcal{F}_{i_n}$ and the integer N. Set $n = 1$, $S = \{M \mid \|M\|^2 \leq N,\ |\alpha(M)|^2 + |\gamma(M)|^2 = 1\}$ and $BF = \partial\mathbb{H}^{n+1} \cap \overline{B} \cap \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{i_n}$.*

Step 1 For every $M \notin \mathrm{PSU}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{Z}))$, such that $\|M\|^2 = n$ and $|\alpha(M)|^2 + |\gamma(M)|^2 \neq 1$, if $BF \cap \overline{D}_{M^{-1}}(i_n) \neq BF$, then $S = S \cup \{M\}$ and $BF = BF \cap \overline{D}_{M^{-1}}(i_n)$.

Step 2 If $BF = \emptyset$, stop and return S.

Step 3 If not, set $n = n + 1$ and go to step 1.

Proposition 4.5. *The set S is finite and the group $\langle M \cup G_{i_n} \mid M \in S\rangle$ is a subgroup of finite index in G. Moreover $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \bigcap_{M\in S} D_{M^{-1}}(i_n) \cap \mathcal{F}_{i_n}$ is a finite-sided polyhedron containing the Dirichlet fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.14 and Corollary 2.15, the fundamental domain of $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{Z}))$ has finite volume and finitely many sides and hence also G has finite covolume and its fundamental domain has finitely many sides. Therefore Agorithm 4.4 has to stop after finitely many steps. As G is a discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$, for every $n \geq 1$, there are only finitely many $M \in G$ such that $\|M\|^2 = n$. Thus the set S is finite.
By construction $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is clearly a finite-sided convex polyhedron, which contains the Dirichlet fundamental polyhedron $\mathcal{F}$ with centre i_n for G. Moreover $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ contains the fundamental Dirichlet domain $\mathcal{F}'$ of $\langle M \cup G_{i_n} \mid M \in S\rangle$. It is easy to show that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ also has finite volume. Thus we have

$$\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}' \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{F}},$$

and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ has finite volume. Thus, $\mathcal{F}'$ has finite volume and by [29, Theorem 6.7.3], $\langle M \cup G_{i_n} \mid M \in S\rangle$ has finite index in G. □

Remark 4.6. *Note that in some cases, i.e. if $G = \mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{Z}))$, it is possible to simplify Algorithm 4.2 and 4.4 in the following way. If $M \in \mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{Z}))$, then $|a(M)|^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. The same is true for $|c(M)|^2$. Thus $|a(M)|^2 + |c(M)|^2 = 1$ if and only $a(M) = 0$ or $c(M) = 0$ and the one different from 0 is of norm 1. Suppose $a(M) = 0$ and let $M' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} M$. Then $c(M') = 0$ and by Theorem 3.4 $\Sigma_{M^{-1}}(i_n) = \Sigma_{M'^{-1}}(i_n)$. Thus, without loss of generality, in Algorithm 4.2, step 1 can only run through the matrices $M \in G$ such that $|a(m)|^2 = 1$ and $c(M) = 0$. In Algorithm 4.4, S may be adapted accordingly.*

Algorithms 4.2 and 4.4 are stated for subgroups of finite index of $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{Z}))$. However both algorithms work perfectly fine for discrete subgroups of $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$, hence for $\mathbb{Z}$-orders in $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$. To keep the symmetry with the earlier work [19] and also with classical work on Fuchsian and Kleinian groups, we decided to state it this way. However in Section 6, we will need an algorithm for discrete subgroups of $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$, which are not contained in $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{Z}))$. Hence the following definition.

Definition 4.7. *We denote by Algorithm 4.2* and Algorithm 4.4* the same algorithm as Algorithm 4.2 and 4.4, but with a discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$, which is not contained in $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{Z}))$, as an input.*

Remark 4.8. *The definition of $\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{Z}))$ is not suited for algorithms as the definition of $\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R})$ is not given in an algorithmic way. Therefore to realize Algorithms 4.2 and 4.4, it is better to work with the alternative definition of $\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$ given in* [11, Definition 3.1] *and mentioned before.*

5. Pulling back subgroups of $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_4(\mathbb{R}))$ to $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}))$

As shown in the previous section, we can find generators for a discrete subgroup of the group $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_4(\mathbb{R}))$. However, as explained in the introduction, we are interested in a description of a discrete subgroup of the groups $\mathrm{PSL}_2((\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Q}}))$. In particular, by [10, Theorem 2.5] we are interested in the cases $x = y = -1$, $x = -1$, $y = -3$ and $x = -2$, $y = -5$.
Intuitively, as both $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_4(\mathbb{R}))$ and $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}))$ are isomorphic to $\mathrm{Iso}^+(\mathbb{H}^5)$, they are isomorphic. We will now describe this isomorphism Details on this can be found in a more general context in [11, Section 6]. First we define the following two elements

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(1 + i_1 i_2 i_3),\\ \varepsilon_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(1 - i_1 i_2 i_3).\end{aligned}$$

Note that ε_1 and ε_2 are elements of the centre of $\mathcal{C}_4(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover we have the following:

$$\varepsilon_i^2 = \varepsilon_i,\ \overline{\varepsilon_i} = \varepsilon_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2,\ \varepsilon_1^* = \varepsilon_2,\ \epsilon_1\epsilon_2 = 0,\ \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 = 1.$$

Thus ε_1 and ε_2 are orthogonal idempotents in $\mathcal{C}_4(\mathbb{R})$. Now every element $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_4(\mathbb{R})$ may be expressed as

$$\alpha = \varepsilon_1 a_1 + \varepsilon_2 a_2,$$

where $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{C}_3(\mathbb{R})$. Indeed let $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 i_1 + \alpha_2 i_2 + \alpha_3 i_3 + \alpha_4 i_1 i_2 + \alpha_5 i_1 i_3 + \alpha_6 i_2 i_3 + \alpha_7 i_1 i_2 i_3$ and $a_1 = x_0 + x_1 i_1 + x_2 i_2 + x_3 i_1 i_2$ and $a_2 = y_0 + y_1 i_1 + y_2 i_2 + y_3 i_1 i_2$. Then $\alpha = a_1\varepsilon_1 + a_2\varepsilon_2$ if and only if

$$\begin{array}{ll}\alpha_0 = \frac{x_0+y_0}{2}, & \alpha_4 = \frac{x_3+y_3}{2},\\ \alpha_1 = \frac{x_1+y_1}{2}, & \alpha_5 = \frac{x_2-y_2}{2},\\ \alpha_2 = \frac{x_2+y_2}{2}, & \alpha_6 = \frac{-x_1+y_1}{2},\\ \alpha_3 = \frac{-x_3+y_3}{2}, & \alpha_7 = \frac{x_0-y_0}{2}.\end{array} \tag{10}$$

It is well known and easy to prove that $\mathcal{C}_3(\mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic with $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R})$. Denote this isomorphism by ω. It is clear that $\omega(1) = 1$, $\omega(i_1) = i$, $\omega(i_2) = j$ and $\omega(i_1 i_2) = k$. Thus we can define an algebra homomorphism

$$\chi_1 : \mathcal{C}_3(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}),\ \alpha \mapsto \omega(a_1). \tag{11}$$

Of course one can extend χ to the corresponding matrix algebras

$$\tilde{\chi} : M_2(\mathcal{C}_4(\mathbb{R})) \to M_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R})). \tag{12}$$

We now restrict $\tilde{\chi}$ to the group $\mathrm{SL}_+(\mathcal{C}_4(\mathbb{R}))$. The proof of the following lemma can be found in [11, Section 6].

Lemma 5.1. *The homomorphism $\tilde{\chi}$ restricts to an isomorphism*

$$\chi : \mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_4(\mathbb{R})) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R})). \tag{13}$$

As we are interested in orders in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}))$ or more precisely subgroups of finite index of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Z}))$, we consider the restriction of χ to a group commensurable with $\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_4(\mathbb{Z}))$. Therefore define

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_4(\mathbb{Z}) = \{\epsilon_1 a + \epsilon_2 b \mid a, b, \in \mathcal{C}_3(\mathbb{Z}), \epsilon_1 a + \epsilon_2 b \in \Gamma_4(\mathbb{R})\}$$

and

$$\mathrm{SL}_+(\tilde{\Gamma}_4(\mathbb{Z})) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \tilde{\Gamma}_4(\mathbb{Z}); \alpha\delta^* - \beta\gamma^* = 1; \alpha\beta^*, \gamma\delta^*, \gamma^*\alpha, \delta^*\beta \in \mathbb{V}^4(\mathbb{Z}) \right\}.$$

It is clear that $\mathrm{SL}_+(\tilde{\Gamma}_4(\mathbb{Z}))$ contains $\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_4(\mathbb{Z}))$ as a subgroup. For the next lemma we need to compute the inverse of the isomorphism χ. By [11], we know the pre-images of the generators of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}))$. First it is easy to see that

$$\chi\left(\begin{pmatrix}0 & -1\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix}0 & -1\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, for every $b \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\chi\left(\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ \beta & 1\end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ b & 1\end{pmatrix},\ \chi\left(\begin{pmatrix}1 & \beta\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix}1 & b\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix},$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{V}^4(\mathbb{R})$ unique such that $\chi_1(\beta) = b$. Finally, for $d \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R})$ with $d\overline{d} = 1$,

$$\chi\left(\begin{pmatrix}\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2\overline{\delta} & 0\\ 0 & \varepsilon_1\delta + \varepsilon_2\end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & d\end{pmatrix},$$

where $\delta \in \mathbb{V}^4(\mathbb{R})$ unique such that $\chi_1(\delta) = d$. By the alternative definition of $\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_n(\mathbb{R}))$ [11, Definition 3.1] (see also the remark under Definition 2.7), the above matrix is clearly contained in $\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_4(\mathbb{R}))$. Note that $\delta\overline{\delta} = 1$. Indeed, write $\delta = \varepsilon_1 d_1 + \varepsilon_2 d_2$. Then $\chi(\delta) = d$ if and only if $d_1 = \omega^{-1}(d)$ and hence $d_2 = \omega^{-1}(d)^*$. Thus

$$\delta\overline{\delta} = (\varepsilon_1 d_1 + \varepsilon_2 d_2)(\varepsilon_1\overline{d_1} + \varepsilon_2\overline{d_2}) = \varepsilon_1 d_1\overline{d_1} + \varepsilon_2 d_2\overline{d_2} = \varepsilon_1\omega^{-1}(d\overline{d}) + \varepsilon_2\omega^{-1}(d\overline{d})^* = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 = 1.$$

Take a random matrix $\begin{pmatrix}a & b\\ c & d\end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}))$. Let σ be as defined in (6). We want to decompose the matrix into a product of generators. Therefore we essentially need to distinguish three cases.

Case 1: $c = 0 = b$. Then a and d are different from 0 and we have

$$\begin{pmatrix}a & 0\\ 0 & d\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}1 & -a\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ a^{-1}-1 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 1\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ a-1 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & ad\end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$\begin{array}{lll}\alpha \in \mathbb{V}^4(\mathbb{R}) & \text{unique such that} & \chi_1(\alpha) = a\\ \tau \in \mathbb{V}^4(\mathbb{R}) & \text{unique such that} & \chi_1(\tau) = ad.\end{array} \tag{14}$$

It is easy to see that $\alpha^{-1} \in \mathbb{V}^4(\mathbb{R})$ and $\chi(\alpha^{-1}) = a^{-1}$ and $\alpha - 1 \in \mathbb{V}^4(\mathbb{R})$ and $\chi(\alpha - 1)) = a - 1$. By the above we may compute the pre-images of the matrices appearing in the decomposition and we get

$$\chi^{-1}\left(\begin{pmatrix}a & 0\\ 0 & d\end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix}\varepsilon_1\alpha + \varepsilon_2\alpha\overline{\tau} & 0\\ 0 & \varepsilon_1\alpha^{-1}\tau + \varepsilon_2\alpha^{-1}\end{pmatrix} \tag{15}$$

Case 2: $c = 0$ and b, a and d are different from 0. We have

$$\begin{pmatrix}a & b\\ 0 & d\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}1 & -a\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ a^{-1}-1 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 1\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ a-1 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & ad\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & a^{-1}b\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}.$$

Define again

$$\begin{array}{lll}\alpha \in \mathbb{V}^4(\mathbb{R}) & \text{unique such that} & \chi_1(\alpha) = a\\ \tau \in \mathbb{V}^4(\mathbb{R}) & \text{unique such that} & \chi_1(\tau) = ad\\ \eta \in \mathbb{V}^4(\mathbb{R}) & \text{unique such that} & \chi_1(\eta) = a^{-1}b.\end{array} \tag{16}$$

By the same computations as above, we find

$$\chi^{-1}\left(\begin{pmatrix}a & b\\ 0 & d\end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix}\varepsilon_1\alpha + \varepsilon_2\alpha\overline{\tau} & \epsilon_1\alpha\eta + \varepsilon_2\alpha\eta\overline{\tau}\\ 0 & \varepsilon_1\alpha^{-1}\tau + \varepsilon_2\alpha^{-1}\end{pmatrix} \tag{17}$$

Case 3: $c \neq 0$. We finally have

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ac^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c^{-1}-1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c-1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c^{-1}d \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As we are working in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}))$, $|\sigma|^2 = 1$. Let

$$\begin{array}{lll} \tau \in \mathbb{V}^4(\mathbb{R}) & \text{unique such that} & \chi_1(\tau) = ac^{-1} \\ \eta \in \mathbb{V}^4(\mathbb{R}) & \text{unique such that} & \chi_1(\eta) = c^{-1}d \\ \mu \in \mathbb{V}^4(\mathbb{R}) & \text{unique such that} & \chi_1(\mu) = \sigma \\ \gamma \in \mathbb{V}^4(\mathbb{R}) & \text{unique such that} & \chi_1(\gamma) = c. \end{array} \tag{18}$$

By the above, we can compute the pre-images of the seven matrices appearing in the decomposition of $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$. Taking the product again, we obtain that

$$\chi^{-1}\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1\tau\gamma + \varepsilon_2\tau\gamma\overline{\mu} & \epsilon_1(\tau\gamma\eta - \gamma^{-1}\mu) + \varepsilon_2(\tau\gamma\eta\overline{\mu} - \gamma^{-1}) \\ \varepsilon_1\gamma + \varepsilon_2\gamma\overline{\mu} & \varepsilon_1\gamma\eta + \varepsilon_2\gamma\eta\overline{\mu} \end{pmatrix} \tag{19}$$

We have now all the ingredients to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *The isomorphism χ restricts to an isomorphism from $\mathrm{SL}_+(\tilde{\Gamma}_4(\mathbb{Z}))$ to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Z}))$.*

Proof. It is clear that $\chi(\mathrm{SL}_+(\tilde{\Gamma}_4(\mathbb{Z}))) \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Z}))$. To prove the inverse, consider an element $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}))$ and consider its pre-image given by (15), (17) or (19). These are generated by the pre-images of the generators of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}))$ and as the latter are contained in $\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_4(\mathbb{R}))$, the pre-image given by (15), (17) or (19) are contained in $\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_4(\mathbb{R}))$. Thus the different entries of the pre-image given by (15), (17) or (19) are elements of $\Gamma_4(\mathbb{R}))$. It remains to prove that the different entries may be expressed as $\varepsilon_1 a_1 + \varepsilon_2 a_2$ with $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{C}_3(\mathbb{Z})$. Therefore note, that if $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_4(\mathbb{R})$, then the expression of α as $\varepsilon_1 a_1 + \varepsilon_2 a_2$ with $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{C}_3(\mathbb{R})$ is unique. Moreover a_1 is given by $\omega^{-1}\chi_1(\alpha)$ and a_2 by $(\omega^{-1}\chi_1(\alpha^*))^*$. As ω clearly restricts to an isomorphism from $\mathcal{C}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ to $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Z})$, to prove that α may be expressed as $\varepsilon_1 a_1 + \varepsilon_2 a_2$ with $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{C}_3(\mathbb{Z})$, it is hence enough to prove that $\chi_1(\alpha)$ and $\chi_1(\alpha^*)$ are elements of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Z})$. So we first consider the case when $b = c = 0$ and hence the pre-image is given by (15). Then $\chi_1(\varepsilon_1\alpha + \varepsilon_2\alpha\overline{\tau}) = a \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Z})$. As $\alpha, \tau \in \mathbb{V}^4(\mathbb{Z})$, $(\alpha\overline{\tau})^* = \overline{\tau}\alpha$ and thus $\chi_1((\alpha\overline{\tau})^*) = \overline{d}|a|^2$, which is an element of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Z})$. Concerning the other entry, $\chi_1(\alpha^{-1}\tau) = d \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\chi_1(\alpha^{-1}) = a^{-1}$, which is also an element of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Z})$ as the original matrix is contained in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Z}))$. The case $c = 0 \neq b$ is dealt with in exactly the same way. The general case described in (19) asks for a bit more reflection. First it is clear that $\chi_1(\tau\gamma), \chi_1(\gamma), \chi_1(\gamma\eta) \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Z})$. The upper right entry gives $\chi_1(\tau\gamma\eta - \gamma^{-1}\mu) = ac^{-1}d - c^{-1}\sigma$, which equals b according to (6). We now compute the coefficients of ε_2. As $|\sigma| = \Delta = 1$, $\overline{\sigma} = \sigma^{-1}$. Moreover the determinant of the pre-image given by (19) equals $1 + \tau\gamma(\overline{\mu}\eta - \eta\overline{\mu})\gamma$, which implies that η and $\overline{\mu}$ commute. Applying this we get $\chi_1((\tau\gamma\overline{\mu})^*) = \sigma^{-1}cac^{-1}$, $\chi_1((\gamma\overline{\mu})^*) = \sigma^{-1}c$, $\chi_1((\gamma\eta\overline{\mu})^*) = c^{-1}d\sigma^{-1}c$ and $\chi_1((\tau\gamma\eta\overline{\mu} - \gamma^{-1})^*) = c^{-1}d\sigma^{-1}cac^{-1} - c^{-1}$. The latter expressions appear like this in the inverse of the matrix given in (7). Thus by Lemma 2.6, they are elements in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Z})$. □

By Lemma 5.2, to get generators for a subgroup G of finite index in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Z}))$, it is sufficient to find generators for $\chi^{-1}(G)$ and apply χ to these generators. Recall that we described the algorithms 4.2 and 4.4 for subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_4(\mathbb{Z}))$ and $\chi^{-1}(G)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_+(\tilde{\Gamma}_4(\mathbb{Z}))$, which contains $\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_4(\mathbb{Z}))$ as a subgroup. The next lemma shows that, if one works up to finite index, this makes no difference.

Lemma 5.3. *The group* $\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_4(\mathbb{Z}))$ *is a subgroup of finite index of* $\mathrm{SL}_+(\tilde{\Gamma}_4(\mathbb{Z}))$.

Proof. Recall from Section 2.4 that we denote by $\mathcal{C}_4(\mathbb{Z})$ the module of integral combinations of the elements i_1, i_2, i_3. Denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_4(\mathbb{Z})$ the set of elements of the form $\varepsilon_1 a + \varepsilon_2 b$ with $a, b \in \mathcal{C}_3(\mathbb{Z})$. Then it is easy to see that both $\mathcal{C}_4(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_4(\mathbb{Z})$ are an orders in $\mathcal{C}_4(\mathbb{Q})$, where the latter was defined in Remark 2.8. In the same way the matrix sets $M_2(\mathcal{C}_4(\mathbb{Z}))$ and $M_2(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_4(\mathbb{Z}))$ are orders in $M_2(\mathcal{C}_4(\mathbb{Q}))$. Notice that $M_2(\mathcal{C}_4(\mathbb{Z}))$ has additive index 2 in $M_2(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_4(\mathbb{Z}))$. By a classical argument one then shows that the multiplicative index of $\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_4(\mathbb{Z}))$ in $\mathrm{SL}_+(\tilde{\Gamma}_4(\mathbb{Z}))$ is also finite. □

This gives a clear method for finding generators up to finite index for a discrete subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Z}))$. Note that as in Remark 2.2, we abuse of notation and denote by χ as well the map defined in (13) as its restriction to the projective groups $\mathrm{PSL}_+(\Gamma_4(\mathbb{R}))$ and $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}))$.

Method 5.4. *Let* G *be a subgroup of finite index in* $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Z}))$. *Construct the group* $\tilde{G} = \chi^{-1}(G) \cap \mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_4(\mathbb{Z}))$, *where* χ *is defined in (13). Consider the projection* $\Pi(\tilde{G})$, *where* Π *is defined in (8). Apply algorithms 4.2 and 4.4 for* $n = 4$ *to* $\Pi(\tilde{G})$ *to get a set* S *of generators up to finite index for* $\Pi(\tilde{G})$. *The set* $\chi\Pi^{-1}(S)$ *is a finite set of generators up to finite index for* G.

Proof. As G is of infnite index in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Z}))$, $\chi^{-1}(G)$ is of ifnite index in $\mathrm{SL}_+(\tilde{\Gamma}_4(\mathbb{Z}))$ by Lemma 5.2. Thus, by Lemma 5.3, $\tilde{G}$ is of finite index in $\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_4(\mathbb{Z}))$. Finally $\chi(\tilde{G})$ has finite index in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Z}))$ and is contained in G. Hence $\chi(\tilde{G})$ has finite index in G. Note that passing to the projective case does not affect the finite index. □

Method 5.4 thus shows that finding generators for $\tilde{G}$ and mapping them back into $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Z}))$ is sufficient for our purpose.

Remark 5.5. *Note that it is not absolutely necessary to apply Method 5.4. One could just consider the group* $\chi^{-1}(\Gamma)$ *which is a* $\mathbb{Z}$*-order in* $\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_4(\mathbb{Q}))$ *and apply algorithms 4.2* and 4.4* to this group directly.*

6. Generators for groups of finite index in $\mathrm{SL}_2\left(\left(\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Z}}\right)\right)$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_-$

In this section we consider the problem of finding generators for a subgroup of finite index in $\mathrm{SL}_2\left(\left(\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Z}}\right)\right)$ for general negative integers x, y, that are not simultaneously -1. The main idea is to consider the different quaternion algebras over extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ so that they are isomorphic to the classical quaternion algebra with $a = b = -1$. For this recall that if K is a field of characteristic different from 2, then for any $x, y, z, t \in K^*$,

$$\left(\frac{x, y}{K}\right) \equiv \left(\frac{z^2 x, t^2 y}{K}\right).$$

In particular the latter implies that over an algebraically closed field, all quaternion algebras are isomorphic. The field $\mathbb{R}$ is not algebraically closed, but it is easy to see that over $\mathbb{R}$ all totally definite quaternion algebras are isomorphic. We consider now the case over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\left(\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ be a totally definite quaternion algebra. Hence x and y are negative. Of course this algebra is not isomorphic to the classical quaternion algebra $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q})$. However as algebras over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{|x|}, \sqrt{|y|})$, both algebras are isomorphic. This is however to big for our purpose, as we are interested in $\left(\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ and not $\left(\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{|x|},\sqrt{|y|})}\right)$. Therefore we need the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *Let a and b be negative integers. Then*

$$\mathcal{H}_{x,y}(\mathbb{Q}) := \{a_0+a_1\sqrt{|x|}i+a_2\sqrt{|y|}j+a_3\sqrt{|xy|}k \mid a_0,a_1,a_2,a_3 \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } i^2=j^2=-1, ij=-ji=k\}$$

is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R})$, which is isomorphic to the totally definite quaternion algebra $\left(\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$.

Proof. It is easy to prove that $\mathcal{H}_{x,y}(\mathbb{Q})$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R})$. The isomorphism between $\mathcal{H}_{x,y}(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\left(\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ is obtained by sending 1 to 1, $\sqrt{x}i$ to i and $\sqrt{y}j$ to j. □

Let us denote the isomorphism described in the proof above by Λ. So

$$\Lambda : \mathcal{H}_{x,y}(\mathbb{Q}) \to \left(\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Q}}\right) \tag{20}$$

$$a_0 + a_1\sqrt{|x|}i + a_2\sqrt{|y|}j + a_3\sqrt{|xy|}k \mapsto a_0 + a_1 i + a_2 j + a_3 k \tag{21}$$

Consider the order $\left(\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Z}}\right)$ in $\left(\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$. Then $\Lambda^{-1}\left(\left(\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Z}}\right)\right)$ equals the order $\mathcal{H}_{x,y}(\mathbb{Z})$ which is a discrete subgroup of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R})$. Thus in this case we are forced to use algorithms 4.2* and 4.4* given in Definition 4.7. With this at hand we get the following method for computing a finite set of generators up to finite index for a subgroup of finite index of $\left(\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Z}}\right)$.

Method 6.2. *Let x and y be negative integers and let $\left(\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Z}}\right)$ be the totally definite quaternion ring over $\mathbb{Z}$. Let G be a subgroup of finite index in $\mathrm{SL}_2\left(\left(\frac{x,y}{\mathbb{Z}}\right)\right)$. Construct the group $\chi^{-1}\Lambda^{-1}(G)$, where χ was defined in (13). Apply algortithms 4.2* and 4.4* to $\chi^{-1}\Lambda^{-1}(G)$ to get a set S of generators of finite index in $\chi^{-1}\Lambda^{-1}(G)$. Then $\Lambda\chi(S)$ is a finite set of generators up to finite index in G.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Method 5.4. □

7. A Short Example

In this section we will do one short example: the order $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Z}))$ in the 2-by-2 matrix ring over the classical rational quaternion algebra $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q})$. So let G be the group $\mathrm{SL}_+(\Gamma_4(\mathbb{Z}))$. We first determine G_{i_4}, the stabilizer of i_4, in order to compute the fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_{i_4}$. By Lemma 3.3, $M \in G_{i_4}$ if and only if $M = \begin{pmatrix}\alpha & \gamma \\ \gamma' & \alpha'\end{pmatrix}$ with $|\alpha|^2 + |\gamma|^2 = 1$. As $|\alpha|, |\gamma| \in \mathbb{Z}$, the latter is true if and only if $|\alpha| = 1$ and $|\gamma| = 0$ or the inverse. Observe that $|\alpha| = 1$ if and only if $\alpha \in \{\pm 1, \pm i_1, \pm i_2, \pm i_3, \pm i_1 i_2, \pm i_1 i_3, \pm i_2 i_3, \pm i_1 i_2 i_3\}$. Hence

$$G_{i_4} = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ -1 & 0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}i_1 & 0\\ 0 & -i_1\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}i_2 & 0\\ 0 & -i_2\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}i_3 & 0\\ 0 & -i_3\end{pmatrix} \right\rangle.$$

Note that the first matrix gives a reflection in the unit halfsphere in $\mathbb{H}^5$, while the other matrices give reflections in planes containing 0 and perpendicular to $\partial\mathbb{H}^5$. It is now easy to see that

$$\mathcal{F}_{i_4} = \{z_0 + z_1 i_1 + z_2 i_2 + z_3 i_3 + z_4 i_4 \mid z_0^2 + z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2 + z_4^2 \geq 1, z_1 \geq 0, z_2 \geq 0, z_3 \geq 0, z_4 > 0\}.$$

We now apply Algorithm 4.2. By Remark 4.6, the algorithm runs through $\begin{pmatrix}\alpha & \beta\\ \gamma & \delta\end{pmatrix} \in G \setminus G_{i_4}$ with $|\alpha| = 1$ and $\gamma = 0$. Hence, the algorithm runs through the matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix}i & \beta\\ 0 & i\end{pmatrix}$, with $|i| = 1$ and β in $\Gamma_4(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $i\beta \in \mathbb{V}^4(\mathbb{Z})$. In the same way as in Remark 4.6, if

$M' = UM$, where $U \in G_{i_4}$, then $\Sigma_{M^{-1}}(i_4) = \Sigma_{M'^{-1}}(i_4)$ and hence it is enough to consider matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\beta \in \mathbb{V}^4(\mathbb{Z})$. The norm of such a matrix is $|\beta|^2$. It is easy to see that Algorithm 4.2 stops at norm $N = 1$ and returns the set

$$B = \{z_0 + z_1 i_1 + z_2 i_2 + z_3 i_3 + z_4 i_4 \mid -\frac{1}{2} \leq z_i \leq \frac{1}{2}, i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}.$$

We now turn to Algorithm 4.4. Observe that $BF = \emptyset$ from the beginning and hence Algorithm 4.4 stops immediately and returns

$$S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} i & \beta \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} \mid |i| = 1, \beta = \pm 1, \pm i_1, \pm i_2, \pm i_3 \right\}.$$

By Proposition 4.5 and the remarks before, the group

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} i_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -i_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} i_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -i_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} i_3 & 0 \\ 0 & -i_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid \beta = \pm 1, \pm i_1, \pm i_2, \pm i_3 \right\rangle$$

is of finite index in G.

Finally we apply the map χ to pull back the elements to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Z}))$. We hence find that

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} j & 0 \\ 0 & -j \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & -k \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid \beta = \pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k \right\rangle$$

is a subgroup of finite index in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Z}))$.

References

[1] L. V. Ahlfors. Möbius transformations and Clifford numbers. In *Differential geometry and complex analysis*, pages 65–73. Springer, Berlin, 1985.

[2] H. Albuquerque and S. Majid. Clifford algebras obtained by twisting of group algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 171(2-3):133–148, 2002.

[3] H. Aslaksen. Quaternionic determinants. *Math. Intelligencer*, 18(3):57–65, 1996.

[4] A. F. Beardon. *The geometry of discrete groups*, volume 91 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. Corrected reprint of the 1983 original.

[5] B. H. Bowditch. Geometrical finiteness for hyperbolic groups. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 113(2):245–317, 1993.

[6] O. Braun, R. Coulangeon, G. Nebe, and S. Schönnenbeck. Computing in arithmetic groups with Voronoï's algorithm. *J. Algebra*, 435:263–285, 2015.

[7] M. R. Bridson and A. Haefliger. *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, volume 319 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

[8] C. Corrales, E. Jespers, G. Leal, and A. del Río. Presentations of the unit group of an order in a non-split quaternion algebra. *Adv. Math.*, 186(2):498–524, 2004.

[9] P. K. Draxl. *Skew fields*, volume 81 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1983.

[10] F. Eisele, A. Kiefer, and I. Van Gelder. Describing units of integral group rings up to commensurability. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 219(7):2901–2916, 2015.

[11] J. Elstrodt, F. Grunewald, and J. Mennicke. Vahlen's group of Clifford matrices and spin-groups. *Math. Z.*, 196(3):369–390, 1987.

[12] J. Elstrodt, F. Grunewald, and J. Mennicke. Arithmetic applications of the hyperbolic lattice point theorem. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 57(2):239–283, 1988.

[13] J. Elstrodt, F. Grunewald, and J. Mennicke. Kloosterman sums for Clifford algebras and a lower bound for the positive eigenvalues of the Laplacian for congruence subgroups acting on hyperbolic spaces. *Invent. Math.*, 101(3):641–685, 1990.

[14] J. Elstrodt, F. Grunewald, and J. Mennicke. *Groups acting on hyperbolic space*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. Harmonic analysis and number theory.

[15] R. Fitzgerald. Norm Euclidean quaternionic orders. *Integers*, 12(2):197–208, 2012.

[16] E. Jespers and A. del Río. *Group Ring Groups*, volume 1 of *De Gruyter Textbooks*. De Gruyter, Berlin, 2015.
[17] E. Jespers and A. del Río. *Group Ring Groups*, volume 2 of *De Gruyter Textbooks*. De Gruyter, Berlin, 2015.
[18] E. Jespers, Á. del Río, and I. Van Gelder. Writing units of integral group rings of finite abelian groups as a product of Bass units. *Math. Comp.*, 83(285):461–473, 2014.
[19] E. Jespers, S. Juriaans, A. Kiefer, A. De A. E Silva, and A. Souza Filho. From the Poincaré theorem to generators of the unit group of integral group rings of finite groups. *Mathematics of Computations*, in press.
[20] E. Jespers, A. Kiefer, and Á. del Río. Presentations of groups acting discontinuously on direct products of hyperbolic spaces. *Math. Comp.*, 85(301):2515–2552, 2016.
[21] E. Jespers, G. Olteanu, Á. del Río, and I. Van Gelder. Group rings of finite strongly monomial groups: central units and primitive idempotents. *J. Algebra*, 387:99–116, 2013.
[22] M. Kapovich. Kleinian groups in higher dimensions. In *Geometry and dynamics of groups and spaces*, volume 265 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 487–564.
[23] R. Kellerhals. Quaternions and some global properties of hyperbolic 5-manifolds. *Canad. J. Math.*, 55(5):1080–1099, 2003.
[24] D. W. Lewis. A determinantal identity for skewfields. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 71:213–217, 1985.
[25] H. Maass. Automorphe Funktionen von meheren Veränderlichen und Dirichletsche Reihen. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, 16(nos. 3-4):72–100, 1949.
[26] C. Maclachlan, P. L. Waterman, and N. J. Wielenberg. Higher-dimensional analogues of the modular and Picard groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 312(2):739–753, 1989.
[27] A. Page. Computing arithmetic Kleinian groups. *Math. Comp.*, 84(295):2361–2390, 2015.
[28] A. Pita and Á. del Río. Presentation of the group of units of $\mathbb{Z}D_{16}^-$. In *Groups, rings and group rings*, volume 248 of *Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math.*, pages 305–314. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006.
[29] J. G. Ratcliffe. *Foundations of hyperbolic manifolds*, volume 149 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2006.
[30] K. T. Vahlen. Ueber Bewegungen und complexe Zahlen. *Math. Ann.*, 55(4):585–593, 1902.
[31] P. L. Waterman. Möbius transformations in several dimensions. *Adv. Math.*, 101(1):87–113, 1993.
[32] J. B. Wilker. The quaternion formalism for Möbius groups in four or fewer dimensions. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 190:99–136, 1993.

Department of Mathematics, Bielefeld University, PO Box 100131, 33501 Bielefeld, Germany
E-mail address: akiefer@math.uni-bielefeld.de